


# How the FBI Protects the Swamp

By Cliff Kincaid – September 19, 2018

Both sides are claiming the FBI can or will get to the bottom of the Brett Kavanaugh sexual assault matter. The Kavanaugh accuser's backers say she wants a full FBI investigation of her charges, and that a former FBI agent conducted a lie detector test that she passed. Kavanaugh's backers say the FBI has already conducted a background investigation of Kavanaugh.



The FBI comes out of this controversy as some authoritative source of information about peoples' personal lives. Isn't that convenient for a law enforcement agency under fire for its political motives and investigations?

"I strongly support Dr. Ford's call for an FBI investigation before a hearing is held," Senate Democratic leader Senator Chuck Schumer said. "Dr. Ford's call for the FBI to investigate also demonstrates her confidence that when all the facts are examined by an impartial investigation, her account will be further corroborated and confirmed."

President Trump countered, "That's not what they [the FBI] do. They have done now, supposedly, six background checks as Judge Kavanaugh has gone beautifully up a ladder."

Re: Brett Kavanaugh Confirmation

Dear Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Feinstein:

I represent Mark Judge. Mr. Judge asked me to convey the following statement:


"I did not ask to be involved in this matter nor did anyone ask me to be involved. The only reason I am involved is because Dr. Christine Blasey Ford remembers me as the other person in the room during the alleged assault.



In fact, I have no memory of this alleged incident. Brett Kavanaugh and I were friends in high school but I do not recall the party described in Dr. Ford's letter. More to the point, I never saw Brett act in the manner Dr. Ford describes.

I have no more information to offer the Committee and I do not wish to speak publicly regarding the incidents described in Dr. Ford's letter."

Sincerely yours,

COZEN O'CONNOR

  
Barbara Van Gelder  
Counsel for Mark Judge



That's a strange use of the word "supposedly," and the phrase "up the ladder" is how former prosecutor Miguel Rodriguez had characterized Kavanaugh and other young lawyers in the office of Independent Counsel (OIC) Ken Starr when they were engineering the cover-up of the murder of Clinton White House lawyer Vincent Foster. The OIC had used the FBI to help cover-up the evidence that somebody drove Foster to Ft. Marcy Park, just minutes from CIA headquarters, where his body was found and a gun planted in his hand. Rodriguez quit under pressure for resisting the cover-up. He said those who were part of the cover-up would move up the ladder.

A major section of the authoritative website [www.FBIcover-up.com](http://www.FBIcover-up.com) is devoted to Kavanaugh's role in the cover-up of the murder of Foster.

As far as the "six background checks" on Kavanaugh are concerned, let's see them. Did FBI agents go back in time to investigate the party atmosphere at Kavanaugh's Catholic high school Georgetown Prep? Did they examine allegations of sexual assault? Were the results of the investigations provided to the White House? Did they ever interview Kavanaugh friend Mark Judge, a former alcoholic who claims not to remember any sexual assault?

<sup>17</sup>Edwards apparently showed these photos to Hodakievic, plus Edwards' own photos. Later, I suggested, after the corpse was staged with the revolver brought by Braun, Simonello and Rolla. New photos were taken and thus Ferstl's were never produced to OIC. This explained the different arm/body distance, gun/hand positions, Hodakievic's problems with the photos, Ferstl's missing photos and EMT problems with the photos (and their observation of a different gun).

-----Miguel Rodriguez-----  
423 22 1103

Other questions also emerge: if the FBI discovered this allegation in a background check, did the FBI leak it? Or did somebody in the White House, perhaps a member of the resistance, with access to the information leak it?

SERVED ON PRINT NAME		
Patrick James Knowlton		
SERVED BY PRINT NAME		TITLE
STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES		
TRAVEL	SERVICES	TOTAL
DECLARATION OF SERVER'S		
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.		
Executed on	10/26/95	Russell J. Bradford
Date		Signature of Server
		OIC, 1001 PENNSYLVANIA AV
		WASHINGTON, D.C. (202) 30
		Address of Server
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
Brett M. Kavanaugh (Associate Counsel)		
(202) 514-8688		

This is the same FBI which tolerated agents Peter Strzok and Lisa Page conducting an affair and plotting against Trump. Clearly, the FBI doesn't conduct adequate background investigations of its own employees.

Back in 2004, when the FBI was investigating the post 9/11 anthrax attacks, then-director Robert Mueller, who is now the Russia-gate prosecutor, ordered the draining of a Maryland pond, at a cost of \$250,000, in order to find "evidence" of the crime. Investigators found an old bicycle, a gun and a minnow trap that some anonymous government sources tried to portray as a biological warfare device. This effort was part of an effort to frame Dr. Steven Hatfill for the anthrax attacks. He sued the FBI and the Justice Department and won millions of dollars in damages. The FBI then blamed another scientist for the anthrax attacks, and he killed himself when the persecution became too much to bear. The evidence suggests Islamists from al Qaeda carried out the attacks with anthrax stolen from a U.S. lab.

In the Foster case, the FBI was part of a Deep State effort to get a grand jury witness, Patrick Knowlton, to change his story about what he saw at Ft. Marcy Park outside of Washington D.C. Knowlton said he never saw Foster's car, a silver Honda, at the time we know Foster's body was dead in the park. Instead, he saw a brown car and a suspicious individual. He testified as to what he saw and a young prosecutor named



Brett Kavanaugh put his name on a subpoena that resulted in Knowlton being harassed by government agents on the streets of Washington, D.C. to change his story. Knowlton never buckled to the pressure, a reason why his story is still to this day told in detail on the website [www.FBIcover-up.com](http://www.FBIcover-up.com) Nobody knows exactly why Foster was killed, but he had NSA binders in his possession and an FBI expert on sex crimes was assigned to the case.



Donald F. McGahn II, who was General Counsel to Donald J. Trump for America, serves the Trump Administration as White House Counsel.

FBI background investigations provide lots of raw information that lead people to different conclusions about the person in question. Their investigations are superficial and politically-motivated. Haven't we seen enough of this in the Trump case?

Was the Senate ever told, when John Brennan was up for CIA director under President Obama, that Brennan had voted communist in 1976? Did the FBI uncover that fact in its background investigation? We know that the Senate voted to confirm him by a 63-34 vote. Even then-Senator Dan Coats, now Trump's Director of National Intelligence, had voted to confirm Brennan. Other Republican "yes" votes included Richard Burr, Susan Collins, Bob Corker, Jeff Flake, Lindsey Graham, Orrin Hatch, and John McCain.

Conservative public interest attorney Larry Klayman suggests that Kavanaugh and his accuser take polygraph examinations from a reputable independent polygraph examiner. This makes some sense. But the other person said to be in the room, Kavanaugh friend Mark Judge, should also take one. However, he has hired a lawyer and has refused to testify under oath on Kavanaugh's behalf.

If we truly want to get to the bottom of this mess and understand what really drives Kavanaugh, consider the falsehoods told by his main character witness and former boss, Ken Starr, whose new book *Contempt* claims that Foster "parked his car" at Ft. Marcy Park and "pulled out a pistol that had been in his family for two generations" and shot himself. None of this is true. The car was not Foster's and the gun was not his. But a variation of this cover story is what Kavanaugh, under orders from Starr, put into his final report. Kavanaugh has told the Senate Judiciary Committee that his report on Foster's death has "stood the test of time," a completely bogus claim.

United States Senate  
WASHINGTON, DC 20510

September 18, 2018

The Honorable Donald F. McGahn II  
White House Counsel  
Office of the White House Counsel  
1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. McGahn:

As you know, serious and credible allegations of sexual assault and attempted rape have been brought against Judge Brett Kavanaugh, whose nomination to the United States Supreme Court is pending before the Senate. As White House Counsel, you have overseen the Trump Administration's efforts to name and confirm judicial nominees. You accompanied Judge Kavanaugh to his meetings with Senators on Capitol Hill and sat behind him on each day of his confirmation hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee. Based on your unique role in choosing and vetting judicial nominees for the administration and shepherding Judge Kavanaugh through the Supreme Court nominations process, we have questions about your knowledge surrounding the allegations against Judge Kavanaugh before they became public last week.

The FBI won't dispute that because the bureau was in on the cover-up. So, once again, we see both sides in the bipartisan Swamp accepting the honesty of the FBI to do background investigations when no trust is warranted. It's a phony debate to allow business as usual to continue.

All of this could have been avoided if President Trump had nominated a good conservative pro-life woman to the Supreme Court. Instead, he listened to his White House counsel and Washington insider Don McGhan and nominated Kavanaugh, another Washington insider who grew up in the Swamp and has served it faithfully.

The betting at this point is that Trump will probably get Kavanaugh confirmed and then lose the House and Senate. He will get no more Supreme Court picks confirmed. By then, McGahn will have left the White House and returned to his firm Jones Day, which is representing Catholic cover-up artist Cardinal Donald Wuerl. This part of the Swamp, which includes the Catholic hierarchy and Georgetown Prep, counts Kavanaugh as a proud member in good standing. They will protect their party boy and will have a lot of leverage over him.

On the court, Kavanaugh will vote to protect abortion rights and homosexual rights as "settled law." Conservatives will lose again.

Isn't it funny how the Swamp always survives and thrives? They are never without legal representation and intelligence agencies to do their bidding.

# The Kavanaugh Fiasco and the Current Crisis

By Cliff Kincaid – September 16, 2018

Conservatives who backed Judge Brett Kavanaugh without examining his record are looking like complete fools. Before the sexual assault charges surfaced, he was already on record saying the atrocious Supreme Court decisions on abortion-on-demand and “gay marriage” were “settled law.” Now, his character is under assault as questions surface about the party atmosphere and secular nature of his Jesuit-run Catholic high school. Catholic “education” has become another crisis.

## ***Kavanaugh Accuser Comes Forward, Saying He Pinned Her on Bed and Groped Her***

Republican presidents can make big mistakes, and Kavanaugh has clearly been another one. Remember that President Ronald Reagan made a mistake when he nominated another liberal Catholic, Anthony Kennedy, to the Supreme Court. “Judge Kennedy represents the best tradition of America's judiciary,” Reagan had said at the time. In fact, Kennedy turned out to be a liberal activist judge on “gay marriage” and even abortion, leading to culture wars which accelerated under former President Barack Hussein Obama into a push for “transgender” rights.

At the White House ceremony where his nomination was announced, Kavanaugh praised his liberal mentor Anthony Kennedy for “securing liberty,” a bizarre claim for a judge who has been sold as a constitutional conservative. It's the kind of statement that leads one to conclude that Kavanaugh would find “transgender rights” in the Constitution as well and that Obama's “Permanent Revolution” will continue.

On the offensive, as usual, Rep. Joe Kennedy (D-Mass.) chairs the Transgender Equality Task Force in Congress and is backing transgender Democratic candidate Christine Hallquist's run for Governor of Vermont. Meanwhile, Democratic Rep. Kyrsten Sinema, the only openly atheist and bisexual member of Congress, is running for the Senate in Arizona.

In the face of this assault, questions persist about Kavanaugh's record on religious rights. I interviewed attorney Arthur A. Schulcz, Sr. on America's Survival TV about Kavanaugh's rulings against Evangelical and Pentecostal Chaplains in the military services. A case can be made that Kavanaugh's rulings suggest a governmental

preference for one religion, in violation of the establishment clause, and deference to the Vatican hierarchy from the bench. Before the sex scandals undermined his mission, the Roman Catholic church under Pope Francis had become a major force for the global left. Kavanaugh was a member in good standing of a major liberal parish in the fancy neighborhoods of Washington, D.C. and Chevy Chase, Maryland, catering to the liberal elite.

What we know for sure is that Brett Kavanaugh is not a conservative Catholic like the late Antonin Scalia. It was Justice Scalia, a good Catholic, who had decried the make-up of the Supreme Court as elitist and out-of-touch with ordinary Americans. He noted that they were lawyers who studied at Harvard or Yale Law School and that, “Not a single evangelical Christian (a group that comprises about one quarter of Americans, or even a Protestant of any denomination.”

Catholics are 25 percent of the population but make up more than half of the Supreme Court. (Justice Neil Gorsuch was raised Roman Catholic and attended the same elite Jesuit-run high school as Kavanaugh but now reportedly attends an Episcopal Church.)

A true Catholic who believes in church teachings on human life and human rights would reject the hierarchy and demand resignations from Jesuit Pope Francis on down. Did Kavanaugh, a former altar boy, volunteer as a lawyer to seek justice for the innocent young ones whose lives were destroyed by predator priests? That’s called *pro bono* work in the legal profession. If he did, we see no record of this in his Senate confirmation hearings. Did he object to the Pope’s left-wing drift? Again, we see no evidence of that.

Instead, Kavanaugh’s claim to fame is that he coached a Catholic girls’ basketball team. He even brought members of the team to his confirmation hearings. It was a nice touch but totally irrelevant to whether he is qualified to be a judge. In retrospect, his decision to surround himself with members of the girls’ basketball team he coached looks like an attempt to give his record a pro-woman veneer. Did he think his past would come back to haunt him?

We now see evidence that he was a party boy at his Jesuit-run high school, Georgetown Preparatory School, and allegations that he was “stumbling drunk” when he tried to assault a young woman. Knee-jerk supporters of Kavanaugh are claiming the woman, now a professor, is a far-left activist. But the other young man supposedly in the room with Kavanaugh and the young woman is not reassuring in his denial.

That Kavanaugh friend, identified as Mark Judge, has written a book with the title, *God and Man at Georgetown Prep: How I Became a Catholic Despite 20 Years of Catholic Schooling*. The official description is as follows: “In this account, the author explores the role of Catholicism in Catholic institutions, presenting three Catholic universities and discussing their lack of religious conviction, arguing for more Catholic theological education and less secularism.” The author also wrote, *Wasted: Tales of a Genx Drunk*, with a review declaring that Kavanaugh’s friend was grateful to Alcoholics Anonymous

“for helping him get his life together” and that “he wonders, appropriately, why the trendy priests and teachers at some of America’s most prestigious Catholic institutions didn’t help him steer a better path.”

This does not bode well for another Catholic from the same school on a Catholic-dominated court. Mark Judge does not sound like a good character witness.

Trump is limited to two four-year terms, assuming he survives impeachment and is re-elected. However, the battle over the Supreme Court is about whether Trump can reverse Barack Hussein Obama’s legacy through Supreme Court nominees who will be on the court for decades. Our book, *Permanent Revolution: The Secret Life and Legacy of Barack Hussein Obama*, describes how Obama stacked the courts with his radical “progressive” judges, who are systematically dismantling America’s Judeo-Christian heritage.

In this titanic struggle, one’s character is critical. But it was Kavanaugh, as a young prosecutor working under Independent Counsel Ken Starr, who helped engineer a cover-up in the Vincent Foster death probe, which was part of the overall Clinton investigation, when he concluded that the former Clinton Deputy White House counsel killed himself. Among other things, as witness Patrick Knowlton testified, Foster’s car was not at the park when he died. What’s more, the gun in Foster’s hand was not his, and his fingerprints were not on the gun. President Trump once called the death “fishy,” and he was right.

